

Stationarity of Stochastic Linear Equations with Additive Noise and Delays in the Unbounded Drift Terms

Kai Liu

Department of Mathematical Sciences,
The University of Liverpool,
Peach Street, Liverpool, L69 7ZL, U.K.
E-mail: k.liu@liv.ac.uk

Abstract: This paper continues the study of [11, 13] for stationary solutions of stochastic linear retarded functional differential equations with the emphasis on delays which appear in those terms including spatial partial derivatives. As a consequence, the associated stochastic equations have unbounded operators acting on the discrete or distributed delayed terms, while the operator acting on the instantaneous term generates a strongly continuous semi-group. We present conditions on the delay systems to obtain a unique stationary solution by combining spectrum analysis of unbounded operators and stochastic calculus. A few instructive cases are analyzed in detail to clarify the underlying complexity in the study of systems with unbounded delayed operators.

Keyword: Stationary solution; Discrete and distributed delay; Retarded Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification(s): 60H15, 60G15, 60H05.

1 Introduction

Let X be a separable real Banach space with norm $\|\cdot\|_X$ and $A : \mathcal{D}(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$ generates a strongly continuous semigroup e^{tA} , $t \geq 0$, on X . Suppose that Z is another Banach space, equipped with the norm $\|\cdot\|_Z$, such that $\mathcal{D}(A) \hookrightarrow Z \hookrightarrow X$, i.e., the injection $\hookrightarrow$ is dense and continuous. Let $W = (Z, X)_{1/2,2}$ be the standard real interpolation space between Z and X (see, e.g., [21]). If $Z = X$, then we take $W = X$. Let $r \geq 0$ and $L_r^2 := L^2([-r, 0]; Z)$. We denote by $\mathcal{X}$ the product space $W \times L_r^2$ with norm

$$\|\Phi\|_{\mathcal{X}} = \|\phi_0\|_W + \|\phi_1\|_{L_r^2} \quad \text{for all } \Phi = (\phi_0, \phi_1) \in \mathcal{X}.$$

Consider the following system which is described by a stochastic linear retarded functional differential equation on X ,

$$\begin{cases} dy(t) = Ay(t)dt + Fy_t dt + f(t)dB(t), & t \geq 0, \\ y(0) = \phi_0, \quad y_0 = \phi_1, \quad \Phi = (\phi_0, \phi_1) \in \mathcal{X}, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $y_t(\theta) := y(t + \theta)$, called *(history) segment*, for any $\theta \in [-r, 0]$ and $t \geq 0$, f is an appropriate function and B is a Brownian motion defined on some probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$. Here the delay term $F : C([-r, 0]; Z) \rightarrow X$ is a bounded linear operator which admits the following representation

$$F\varphi = \int_{-r}^0 d\eta(\theta)\varphi(\theta) \quad \forall \varphi \in C([-r, 0]; Z), \quad (1.2)$$

where $\eta : [-r, 0] \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(Z, X)$, the family of all bounded and linear operators from Z to X , is of bounded variation.

Although operator F is defined only on continuous functions, the quantity Fy_t still makes sense as function of t with values in X for each $y(\cdot)$ in $L^2([-r, T]; Z)$. Indeed, we have the following result whose proof is referred to Appendix.

Proposition 1.1. *Let $T \geq 0$ and $y(\cdot) \in L^2([-r, T]; Z)$, then the function $t \rightarrow Fy_t$ belongs to $L^2([0, T]; X)$. Moreover, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\int_0^T \|Fy_t\|_X^2 dt \leq C \int_{-r}^0 \|y(t)\|_Z^2 dt. \quad (1.3)$$

A typical example satisfying (1.2) and thus Proposition 1.1 is given below. Assume that η is the Stieltjes measure defined by

$$\eta(\tau) = - \sum_{i=1}^m \mathbf{1}_{(-\infty, -r_i]}(\tau) A_i - \int_{\tau}^0 A_0(\theta) d\theta, \quad \tau \in [-r, 0], \quad (1.4)$$

where $\mathbf{1}_{(-\infty, -r_i]}$ denotes the indicator function on $(-\infty, -r_i]$, $0 \leq r_i \leq r$, $A_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{D}(A), X)$, $i = 1, \dots, m$, and $A_0(\cdot) \in L^2([-r, 0]; \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{D}(A), X))$. Let $Z = \mathcal{D}(A)$, endowed with the graph

norm of A , and define a linear mapping $F : C([-r, 0]; \mathcal{D}(A)) \rightarrow X$ by

$$F\varphi = \int_{-r}^0 d\eta(\theta)\varphi(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^m A_i\varphi(-r_i) + \int_{-r}^0 A_0(\theta)\varphi(\theta)d\theta, \quad \forall \varphi \in C([-r, 0]; \mathcal{D}(A)). \quad (1.5)$$

It is clear that $F : C([-r, 0]; \mathcal{D}(A)) \rightarrow X$ is linear and bounded. For any fixed $T \geq 0$, $y \in C([-r, T]; \mathcal{D}(A))$, one can easily derive by using Hölder inequality and Fubini's theorem that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_0^T \|Fy_s\|_X^2 ds \right)^{1/2} \\ & \leq \left[\sum_{i=1}^m \|A_i\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{D}(A), X)} + \left(\int_{-r}^0 \|A_0(\theta)\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{D}(A), X)}^2 d\theta \right)^{1/2} \cdot r^{1/2} \right] \left(\int_{-r}^T \|y(s)\|_{\mathcal{D}(A)}^2 ds \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $C([-r, T]; \mathcal{D}(A))$ is dense in $L^2([-r, T]; \mathcal{D}(A))$, the delay operator F is extendible so that (1.3) (here, $Z = \mathcal{D}(A)$) is valid for all $y \in L^2([-r, T]; \mathcal{D}(A))$.

If $Z = X$, the associated delay operator F is bounded, a case considered in [11, 12, 13]. If $Z \neq X$, we deal with, in essence, unbounded delay terms. In this case, we further assume that A generates an analytic semigroup e^{tA} , $t \geq 0$, on appropriate spaces and meanwhile employ the theory of interpolation spaces.

Example 1.1. Let $X = H$ be a Hilbert space and A generate an analytic semigroup e^{tA} , $t \geq 0$, on H . Consider a Stieltjes measure η given by

$$\eta(\theta) = -\mathbf{1}_{(-\infty, -r]}(\theta)\alpha A_1 - \int_{\theta}^0 \beta(\tau)A_2 d\tau : \mathcal{D}(A) \rightarrow H, \quad \theta \in [-r, 0], \quad (1.6)$$

where $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and the real-valued function $\beta(\cdot)$ is assumed to be L^2 -integrable on $[-r, 0]$, i.e., $\beta \in L^2([-r, 0]; \mathbb{R})$. The delayed operator F is explicitly written as

$$Fy_t = \int_{-r}^0 d\eta(\theta)y(t+\theta) = \alpha A_1 y(t-r) + \int_{-r}^0 \beta(\theta)A_2 y(t+\theta)d\theta, \quad t \geq 0.$$

In this case, we put $Z = \mathcal{D}(A)$ and let W denote the intermediate space $(\mathcal{D}(A), H)_{1/2,2}$ between $\mathcal{D}(A)$ and H given by:

$$W = \left\{ x \in H : \int_0^\infty \|Ae^{tA}x\|_H^2 dt < \infty \right\}$$

and

$$\|x\|_W = \left(\|x\|_H^2 + \int_0^\infty \|Ae^{tA}x\|_H^2 dt \right)^{1/2}, \quad x \in W.$$

In particular, we have $\mathcal{X} = W \times L^2([-r, 0]; \mathcal{D}(A))$.

For example, consider an initial-boundary value problem of Dirichlet type for the stochastic retarded Laplace equation:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial y(t, x)}{\partial t} = \Delta y(t, x) + \gamma \Delta y(t - r, x) + \mu \int_{-r}^0 \Delta y(t + \theta, x) d\theta + f(t, x) \dot{B}(t) & \text{on } [0, T] \times \mathcal{O}, \\ y(t, x) = y_0(t, x), & (t, x) \in [-r, 0] \times \mathcal{O}, \\ y(0, x) = \varphi(x), & x \in \mathcal{O}, \\ y(t, x) = 0, & (t, x) \in [-r, T] \times \partial\mathcal{O}, \end{cases} \quad (1.7)$$

Here $\mathcal{O}$ is a bounded open subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$ with smooth boundary $\partial\mathcal{O}$, $\gamma, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$, $r > 0$, $T > 0$ and y_0 and φ are appropriately given functions. We can rewrite (1.7) as an initial boundary problem (1.1) in the Hilbert space $X = L^2(\mathcal{O})$ by setting

$$\begin{cases} A = \Delta, \\ \mathcal{D}(A) = W^{2,2}(\mathcal{O}) \cap W_0^{1,2}(\mathcal{O}), \\ A_1 = \gamma \Delta, \quad A_2 = \mu \Delta. \end{cases} \quad (1.8)$$

On this occasion, the interpolation space $(\mathcal{D}(A), X)_{1/2,2}$ is equivalent to $W_0^{1,2}(\mathcal{O})$.

Example 1.2. Assume that V, H are two Hilbert spaces such that

$$V \hookrightarrow H \cong H^* \hookrightarrow V^*.$$

Let $a(u, v)$ be a bounded sesquilinear form defined on $V \times V$ satisfying Gårding's inequality

$$2a(u, u) \leq -\delta \|u\|_V^2, \quad u \in V, \quad (1.9)$$

where $\delta > 0$ is a constant. Let A be the operator associated with this sesquilinear form by

$$\langle v, Au \rangle_{V, V^*} = a(u, v), \quad u, v \in V. \quad (1.10)$$

Then operator A is bounded and linear from V into V^* . The realization of A in H , which is the restriction of A to the domain $\mathcal{D}(A) = \{v \in V : Av \in H\}$, is also denoted by A . It is known (cf. [18]) that A generates a bounded analytic semigroup e^{tA} , $t \geq 0$, on V^* and $e^{tA} : V^* \rightarrow V$ for each $t > 0$.

Let $X = V^*$, $Z = V$ and $W = (V, V^*)_{1/2,2} = H$. In this case, we have $\mathcal{X} = H \times L^2([-r, 0]; V)$. Let $A_i \in \mathcal{L}(V, V^*)$, $i = 1, 2$, such that A_i maps $\mathcal{D}(A)$ endowed with the graph norm of A into H continuously. Consider a Stieltjes measure η given by

$$\eta(\theta) = -\mathbf{1}_{(-\infty, -r]}(\theta) \alpha A_1 - \int_{\theta}^0 \beta(\tau) A_2 d\tau : V \rightarrow V^*, \quad \theta \in [-r, 0], \quad (1.11)$$

where $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and the real-valued function $\beta(\cdot)$ is assumed to be L^2 -integrable on $[-r, 0]$, i.e., $\beta \in L^2([-r, 0]; \mathbb{R})$.

For example, consider the following initial-boundary value problem for a stochastic parabolic differential equation with delay. Let $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded domain with smooth

boundary $\partial\mathcal{O}$. We set $H = L^2(\mathcal{O}; \mathbb{R})$ and $V = H_0^1(\mathcal{O}; \mathbb{R})$. Let $a(u, v)$ be the sesquilinear form in $H_0^1(\mathcal{O}; \mathbb{R}) \times H_0^1(\mathcal{O}; \mathbb{R})$ defined by

$$a(u, v) = \int_{\mathcal{O}} \left\{ \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_j} + \sum_{i=1}^n b_i(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} v + c(x) uv \right\} dx, \quad x \in \mathcal{O}. \quad (1.12)$$

Here we assume that the real-valued coefficients a_{ij} , b_i , c satisfy

$$a_{ij} = a_{ji} \in C^1(\bar{\mathcal{O}}; \mathbb{R}), \quad b_i \in C^1(\bar{\mathcal{O}}; \mathbb{R}), \quad c \in L^\infty(\mathcal{O}; \mathbb{R}), \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq n,$$

and the uniform ellipticity

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x) y_i y_j \geq \delta \|y\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}^2, \quad \forall y = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad x \in \mathcal{O}, \quad (1.13)$$

for some constant $\delta > 0$. As is well known (see e.g., Tanabe [18]), this sesquilinear form is bounded and the operator $A : H_0^1(\mathcal{O}; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^{-1}(\mathcal{O}; \mathbb{R})$ defined through (1.12) has the following realization in $L^2(\mathcal{O}; \mathbb{R})$. Let

$$\tilde{A} = - \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left(a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^n b_i(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + c(x), \quad x \in \mathcal{O},$$

be the associated uniformly elliptic differential operator of the second order. Next, let A_i , $i = 1, 2$, be the restriction to $H_0^1(\mathcal{O}; \mathbb{R})$ of the second order differential operator $-\tilde{A}_i$, $i = 1, 2$, given by

$$\tilde{A}_i = - \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left(\tilde{a}_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{b}_i(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + \tilde{c}(x), \quad x \in \mathcal{O},$$

where

$$\tilde{a}_{ij} = \tilde{a}_{ji} \in C^1(\bar{\mathcal{O}}; \mathbb{R}), \quad \tilde{b}_i \in C^1(\bar{\mathcal{O}}; \mathbb{R}), \quad \tilde{c} \in L^\infty(\mathcal{O}; \mathbb{R}), \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq n.$$

Thus each $A_i : H_0^1(\mathcal{O}; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^{-1}(\mathcal{O}; \mathbb{R})$ is bounded without the ellipticity condition (1.13). The following system of a stochastic parabolic partial functional differential equation and initial-boundary condition is covered

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial y(t, x)}{\partial t} = \tilde{A}y(t, x) + \tilde{A}_1 y(t - r, x) + \int_{-r}^0 \beta(\theta) \tilde{A}_2 y(t + \theta, x) d\theta + f(t, x) \dot{B}(t), & t \geq 0, x \in \mathcal{O}, \\ y(0, \cdot) = \phi_0(\cdot) \in L^2(\mathcal{O}; \mathbb{R}), \quad y(t, \cdot) = \phi_1(t, \cdot) \in H_0^1(\mathcal{O}; \mathbb{R}), & \text{a.e. } t \in [-r, 0), \end{cases} \quad (1.14)$$

where the kernel $\beta(\cdot)$ is assumed to be an element of $L^2([-r, 0]; \mathbb{R})$.

In [11, 13], we studied stationary solutions for the following abstract stochastic retarded evolution equation on a Hilbert space H ,

$$\begin{cases} dy(t) = Ay(t)dt + A_1 y(t - r)dt + \int_{-r}^0 \beta(\theta) A_2 y(t + \theta) d\theta dt + f(t) dB(t), & t \geq 0, \\ y(0) = \phi_0, \quad y(\theta) = \phi_1(\theta), \quad \theta \in [-r, 0], & r > 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.15)$$

where both the operators A_1 and A_2 appearing on the delay terms are linear and *bounded* on H . In this work, we continue the study of stationary solutions for the equation (1.15) by taking *unbounded* A_1 and A_2 into consideration.

The objective of this work is to study stability and stationary (strong) solutions for a class of retarded Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes. Here we are especially concerned about the situation that delay appears in those terms including partial derivatives of the analogous system equations to (1.14). In Section 2, we first present a theory of fundamental solutions involved with unbounded delay operators. This is a natural generalization of those in the theory of bounded operators developed in [11, 13]. Afterwards, this powerful tool is used in Section 3 to derive a variation of constants formula for the stochastic systems under investigation. By using the explicit form of the retarded Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes, we shall develop in Section 4 a theory of stability and stationary solutions. To locate a stationary solution for our system, it is important to know when the associated “lift-up” solution semigroup is exponentially stable, a case which is quite complicated in contrast to its bounded delay counterpart. To clarify and illustrate our theory, we split our statement of Section 5 into two parts, Subsections 5.1 and 5.2, to consider the discrete and distributed delays separately. In contrast with bounded delay situation in [11, 13], it turns out that we need different methods to deal with these two kinds of delays. Finally, we add an Appendix to present the proofs of some results from deterministic functional differential equations.

2 Fundamental Solutions

Assume that $A \in \mathcal{L}(Z, X)$ and A generates an analytic semigroup e^{tA} , $t \geq 0$, on X . When $Z = X$, we only suppose that A generates a C_0 -semigroup e^{tA} , $t \geq 0$, on X . Let $f \in L^2([0, T]; X)$ and consider the following retarded functional differential equation,

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dy(t)}{dt} = Ay(t) + Fy_t + f(t), & t \in (0, T], \\ y(0) = \phi_0, \quad y(t) = \phi_1(t), & t \in [-r, 0], \quad \Phi = (\phi_0, \phi_1) \in \mathcal{X} = W \times L^2([-r, 0]; Z), \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

or its integral form,

$$\begin{cases} y(t) = e^{tA}\phi_0 + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A}Fy_s ds + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A}f(s)ds, & t \geq 0, \\ y(0) = \phi_0, \quad y(\theta) = \phi_1(\theta), & \theta \in [-r, 0], \quad \Phi = (\phi_0, \phi_1) \in \mathcal{X}, \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

where the delay term $F : C([-r, 0]; Z) \rightarrow X$ is a bounded linear operator satisfying (1.2).

Theorem 2.1. *For any $T \geq 0$, $f \in L^2([0, T]; X)$ and $\Phi = (\phi_0, \phi_1) \in \mathcal{X}$, there exists a unique solution $y(t) = y(t, \Phi)$ of (2.2) such that*

$$y \in L^2([0, T]; Z) \cap W^{1,2}([0, T]; X) \subset C([0, T]; W).$$

Moreover, there is a number $C_T > 0$, depending only on T , such that

$$\left(\int_0^T \|y(t)\|_Z^2 dt + \int_0^T \left\| \frac{dy(t)}{dt} \right\|_X^2 dt \right) \leq C_T \left(\|\phi_0\|_W^2 + \int_{-r}^0 \|\phi_1(\theta)\|_Z^2 d\theta + \int_0^T \|f(t)\|_X^2 dt \right). \quad (2.3)$$

Proof. See [4] or [8]. □

By Theorem 2.1, one can construct a family of *fundamental solution* $G(t) : (-\infty, \infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(W)$ for (2.2) with $f = 0$ through

$$G(t)x = \begin{cases} y(t, \Phi), & t \geq 0, \\ 0, & t < 0, \end{cases} \quad \forall x \in W, \quad (2.4)$$

where $\Phi = (x, 0)$. Let $A_i \in \mathcal{L}(Z, X)$, $i = 1, 2$, such that each A_i maps $\mathcal{D}(A)$ into X continuously. In the sequel, we shall mainly focus on the following form of delays given by

$$\eta(\theta) = -\mathbf{1}_{(-\infty, -r]}(\theta)\alpha A_1 - \int_{\theta}^0 \beta(\tau)A_2 d\tau : Z \rightarrow X, \quad \theta \in [-r, 0], \quad (2.5)$$

where $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\beta \in L^2([-r, 0]; \mathbb{R})$. The main reason of this consideration is that it would allow us to have a stronger regularity of $G(t)$ analogous to that for the analytic semigroup e^{tA} , $t \geq 0$. To this end, we impose further conditions on the kernel function β in (2.5): suppose that $\beta : [-r, 0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an Hölder continuous function on $[-r, 0]$, i.e., there is a number $\rho \in (0, 1]$ such that

$$|\beta(t) - \beta(s)| \leq C|t - s|^\rho \quad \text{for any } t, s \in [-r, 0],$$

where $C > 0$. Under this condition, we can solve (see [8]) the equation (2.2) in the following form on X ,

$$\begin{cases} y(t) = e^{tA}\phi_0 + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A}\alpha A_1 y(t-r)ds + \int_0^t \int_{-r}^0 \beta(\theta)e^{(t-s)A}A_2 y(t+\theta)d\theta ds \\ \quad + \int_0^t f(s)ds, \quad t \in [0, T], \\ y(0) = \phi_0, \quad y_0 = \phi_1, \quad \Phi = (\phi_0, \phi_1) \in \mathcal{X}, \end{cases} \quad (2.6)$$

or solve the corresponding operatoral equation

$$\begin{cases} G(t) = e^{tA} + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A}\alpha A_1 G(t-r)ds + \int_0^t \int_{-r}^0 \beta(\theta)e^{(t-s)A}A_2 G(t+\theta)d\theta ds, \quad t \in [0, T], \\ G(t) = 0, \quad t < 0. \end{cases} \quad (2.7)$$

to get the fundamental solution $G(t)$ in the space $\mathcal{L}(X)$.

Proposition 2.1. (see [8]) *The fundamental solution $G(t)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, of retarded type in (2.7) is strongly continuous both in X and W such that $G(t) : X \rightarrow Z$ for each $t > 0$ and satisfies*

$$\frac{d}{dt}G(t)x = AG(t)x + \alpha A_1 G(t-r)x + \int_{-r}^0 \beta(\theta)A_2 G(t+\theta)x d\theta, \quad x \in X, \quad t \geq 0,$$

and

$$\frac{d}{dt}G(t)x = G(t)Ax + G(t-r)\alpha A_1 x + \int_{-r}^0 \beta(\theta)G(t+\theta)A_2 x d\theta, \quad x \in Z, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Corollary 2.1. *Let $G^*(t)$ denote the adjoint operator of the fundamental solution $G(t)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}^1$. Then $G^*(t) : Z^* \rightarrow X^*$ is strongly continuous in Z^* and satisfies*

$$\frac{d}{dt}G^*(t)x = G^*(t)A^*x + \alpha G^*(t-r)A_1^*x + \int_{-r}^0 \beta(\theta)G^*(t+\theta)A_2^*x d\theta, \quad x \in X^*, \quad t \geq 0.$$

and

$$\frac{d}{dt}G^*(t)x = A^*G^*(t)x + \alpha A_1^*G^*(t-r)x + \int_{-r}^0 \beta(\theta)A_2^*G^*(t+\theta)x d\theta, \quad x \in Z^*, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Proposition 2.2. *For any $T \geq 0$, there exists a number $C_T > 0$ such that for any $f \in L^2([0, T]; X)$,*

$$\int_0^T \left\| \int_0^t G(t-s)f(s)ds \right\|_Z^2 dt \leq C_T \int_0^T \|f(t)\|_X^2 dt. \quad (2.8)$$

Proof. Let $\Phi = (0, 0)$ in (2.3), then we get the desired result (2.8) immediately. $\square$

Let $\Phi \in \mathcal{X}$ and $y(t, \Phi)$ be the solution of the equation (2.6) with $f = 0$. The segment process y_t is given by $y_t(\Phi) = y(t + \theta; \Phi)$, $\theta \in [-r, 0]$. In association with y , we define the mapping $\mathcal{T}(t)$, $t \geq 0$, of (2.6) by

$$\mathcal{T}(t)\Phi = (y(t; \Phi), y_t(\Phi)), \quad t \geq 0, \quad \Phi \in \mathcal{X}. \quad (2.9)$$

Then it may be shown that $\mathcal{T}(t)$, $t \geq 0$, is a strongly continuous or C_0 semigroup on $\mathcal{X}$. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the infinitesimal generator of $\mathcal{T}(t)$ or $e^{t\mathcal{A}}$, $t \geq 0$. The characterization of $\mathcal{A}$ is given by the following theorem.

Theorem 2.2. (see [4]) *The operator $\mathcal{A}$ is described by*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}) = \left\{ \Phi = (\phi_0, \phi_1) : (\phi_0, \phi_1) \in \mathcal{D}(A) \times W^{1,2}([-r, 0]; Z), \phi_0 = \phi_1(0), \right. \\ \left. A\phi_0 + \alpha A_1\phi_1(-r) + \int_{-r}^0 \beta(\theta)A_2\phi_1(\theta)d\theta \in W \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

and for any $\Phi = (\phi_0, \phi_1) \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})$,

$$\mathcal{A}\Phi = \left(A\phi_0 + \alpha A_1\phi_1(-r) + \int_{-r}^0 \beta(\theta)A_2\phi_1(\theta)d\theta, \frac{d\phi_1(\theta)}{d\theta} \right). \quad (2.11)$$

For arbitrary $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, we define the characteristic operator Δ or $\Delta(\lambda)$ of (2.6) by

$$\Delta(\lambda) = \lambda - A - \alpha A_1 e^{-\lambda r} - \int_{-r}^0 \beta(\theta) e^{\lambda \theta} A_2 d\theta. \quad (2.12)$$

Clearly, $\Delta(\lambda) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{D}(A), X)$ for each $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. We also define the resolvent and spectrum sets for $\Delta(\lambda)$, respectively, by

$$\rho(\Delta) = \{ \lambda : \Delta(\lambda) \text{ is bijective} \} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma(\Delta) = \mathbb{C} \setminus \rho(\Delta).$$

Then it is easy to see, by the well-known open mapping theorem, that for each $\lambda \in \rho(\Delta)$, the inverse $\Delta(\lambda)^{-1}$ exists and belongs to $\mathcal{L}(X)$.

Definition 2.1. We introduce the following notations of continuous, residual and point spectra of Δ :

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_C(\Delta) &= \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \Delta(\lambda) \text{ is injective, } \overline{\Delta(\lambda)\mathcal{D}(A)} = X, \Delta(\lambda)^{-1} \text{ is unbounded on } X\}, \\ \sigma_R(\Delta) &= \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \Delta(\lambda) \text{ is injective, } \overline{\Delta(\lambda)\mathcal{D}(A)} \neq X\}, \\ \sigma_P(\Delta) &= \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \Delta(\lambda) \text{ is not injective}\}.\end{aligned}$$

By definition, it is clear that $\mathbb{C} = \rho(\Delta) \cup \sigma_C(\Delta) \cup \sigma_R(\Delta) \cup \sigma_P(\Delta)$. The following result provides a useful tool to establish the spectrum relations between Δ and the generator $\mathcal{A}$.

Proposition 2.3. *Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\Psi = (\psi_0, \psi_1) \in \mathcal{X}$. If $\Phi = (\phi_1(0), \phi_1) \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})$ satisfies*

$$\lambda\Phi - \mathcal{A}\Phi = \Psi, \quad (2.13)$$

then we have

$$\phi_1(\theta) = e^{\lambda\theta}\phi_1(0) + \int_{\theta}^0 e^{\lambda(\theta-\tau)}\psi_1(\tau)d\tau, \quad -r \leq \theta \leq 0, \quad (2.14)$$

and, letting $\phi_0 = \phi_1(0)$, there is

$$\Delta(\lambda)\phi_1(0) = \int_{-r}^0 e^{\lambda(-r-\tau)}\alpha A_1\psi_1(\tau)d\tau + \int_{-r}^0 \beta(\theta) \int_{\theta}^0 e^{\lambda(\theta-\tau)}A_2\psi_1(\tau)d\tau d\theta + \psi_0. \quad (2.15)$$

Conversely, if $\phi_0 \in \mathcal{D}(A)$ satisfies the equation (2.15), and letting $\phi_1(0) = \phi_0$,

$$\phi_1(\theta) = e^{\lambda\theta}\phi_1(0) + \int_{\theta}^0 e^{\lambda(\theta-\tau)}\psi_1(\tau)d\tau, \quad -r \leq \theta \leq 0, \quad (2.16)$$

then we have that $\phi_1 \in W^{1,2}([-r, 0]; Z)$, $\Phi = (\phi_1(0), \phi_1) \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})$ and Φ satisfies (2.13).

Proof. See Appendix. □

As usual we denote by $\rho(\mathcal{A})$ the resolvent set of $\mathcal{A}$, $\sigma(\mathcal{A})$ the spectrum of $\mathcal{A}$ and by $\sigma_P(\mathcal{A})$, $\sigma_C(\mathcal{A})$, $\sigma_R(\mathcal{A})$ the point, continuous and residual spectra of $\mathcal{A}$, respectively. By virtue of Proposition 2.3, we can establish the following results on the relationship between three kinds of spectrum for $\mathcal{A}$ and the corresponding Δ .

Theorem 2.3. (see [15]) *For the operators Δ and $\mathcal{A}$ of (2.6), the following inclusions and equalities hold:*

$$\sigma_P(\mathcal{A}) = \sigma_P(\Delta), \quad (2.17)$$

$$\sigma_R(\mathcal{A}) = \sigma_R(\Delta), \quad (2.18)$$

$$\sigma_C(\mathcal{A}) \subset \sigma_C(\Delta) \subset \sigma_C(\mathcal{A}) \cup \rho(\mathcal{A}). \quad (2.19)$$

3 Variation of Constants Formula

In the sequel, we assume that Z, X are real separable Hilbert spaces and $W = W^*$ according to the well-known Riesz representation theorem. Let $L^2_{\mathcal{F}_0}(\Omega; \mathcal{X})$ denote the space of all $\mathcal{X}$ -valued mappings $\Phi(\omega) = (\phi_0(\omega), \phi_1(\cdot, \omega))$ defined on some probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$ such that both ϕ_0 and $\phi_1(\theta)$ are $\mathcal{F}_0$ -measurable for any $\theta \in [-r, 0]$ and satisfy

$$\mathbb{E}\|\Phi\|_{\mathcal{X}}^2 = \mathbb{E}\|\phi_0\|_W^2 + \mathbb{E}\|\phi_1\|_{L^2_r}^2 < \infty.$$

As mentioned before, we shall be concerned about the following stochastic retarded evolution equation on the Hilbert space X ,

$$\begin{cases} dy(t) = Ay(t)dt + \alpha A_1 y(t-r)dt + \int_{-r}^0 \beta(\theta) A_2 y(t+\theta) d\theta dt + f(t)dB(t), & t \in [0, T], \\ y(0) = \phi_0, \quad y_0 = \phi_1, \quad \Phi = (\phi_0, \phi_1) \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}_0}(\Omega; \mathcal{X}), \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

where $f \in L^2(\Omega \times [0, T]; X)$ and B is a real-valued $\mathcal{F}_t$ -Brownian motion on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$. Here A, A_1, A_2 and α, β all are given as in (2.6). We may establish the following proposition which is crucial for the variation of constants formula of the solutions for (3.1).

Proposition 3.1. *Let $G(\cdot)$ be the fundamental solution of (3.1). Then the process $v(t) := \int_0^t G(t-s)f(s)dB(s)$ constitutes a solution of the equation (3.1) with $\phi_0 = 0, \phi_1 \equiv 0$ and moreover*

$$v \in L^2([0, T] \times \Omega; Z) \cap L^2(\Omega; C([0, T]; W)) \quad \text{for any } T \geq 0. \quad (3.2)$$

Hence $v(t), t \in [0, T]$, gives the unique solution of (3.1) with zero initial data.

Proof. We split our proofs into two steps as follows.

Step 1. Let $f \in L^2(\Omega \times [0, T]; X)$. We first show that $v(t) := \int_0^t G(t-s)f(s)ds$ is a solution of the equation (2.6) with $\phi_0 = 0$ and $\phi_1 \equiv 0$. To this end, first note that $G(t)$ is strongly continuous in X and $v(t)$ makes sense as a Bochner integral in X for each $t > 0$. Since $G(t) : X \rightarrow Z$ for each $t > 0$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} v(t) &= \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A} f(s)ds + \int_0^t \left(\int_0^{t-s} \alpha e^{(t-s-\tau)A} A_1 G(\tau-r) d\tau \right) f(s)ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \left(\int_0^{t-s} e^{(t-s-\tau)A} \int_{-r}^0 \beta(\theta) A_2 G(\tau+\theta) d\theta d\tau \right) f(s)ds \\ &=: I_1 + I_2 + I_3 \in Z, \quad t \in [0, T]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Using Fubini's theorem and noting that $G(t) = 0$ for $t < 0$, we transform the integrals I_2, I_3 in (3.3) into

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= \alpha \int_0^t \int_s^t e^{(t-\tau)A} A_1 G(\tau-s-r) d\tau f(s)ds \\ &= \alpha \int_0^t e^{(t-\tau)A} A_1 \left(\int_{\tau-r}^0 G(\tau-s-r) f(s)ds \right) d\tau, \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

and in a similar way, it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 &= \int_0^t \int_s^t e^{(t-\tau)A} \int_{-r}^0 \beta(\tau) A_2 G(\tau - s + \theta) f(s) d\theta d\tau ds \\ &= \int_0^t e^{(t-\tau)A} \left(\int_{-r}^0 \beta(\theta) A_2 \int_0^\tau G(s + \theta - \tau) f(\tau) d\tau d\theta \right) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

Hence combining (3.3)–(3.5), we can verify immediately that $v(t)$ satisfies the equation (2.6) with $\phi_0 = 0$ and $\phi_1 \equiv 0$. Furthermore, by Theorem 2.1 it is easy to see that $v(t)$ is the unique solution of (2.6) and moreover $v(t)$ satisfies (3.2).

Step 2. We first show that $v(t) := \int_0^t G(t-s)f(s)dB(s)$ is a solution of the equation (3.1) with $\phi_0 = 0$ and $\phi_1 \equiv 0$ when $f \in L^2(\Omega \times [0, T]; Z)$. Define

$$M(t) = \begin{cases} \int_0^t f(s)dB(s) & \text{for } t \geq 0, \\ 0 & \text{for } t \in (-r, 0]. \end{cases} \quad (3.6)$$

Then it is easy to see that $M \in L^2(\Omega \times [0, T]; Z)$. Now let us consider the following stochastic system with time delay,

$$\begin{cases} y(t) = \int_0^t Ay(s)ds + \int_0^t \alpha A_1 y(s-r)ds + \int_0^t \int_{-r}^0 \beta(\theta) A_2 y(s+\theta) d\theta ds + M(t), & t \in [0, T], \\ y(0) = 0, \quad y(\theta) = 0, & \theta \in [-r, 0]. \end{cases} \quad (3.7)$$

Let $z(t) = y(t) - M(t)$, $t \in [0, T]$. Then it is immediate to see that for any $t \in [0, T]$,

$$\begin{aligned} z(t) &= \int_0^t A(z(s) + M(s))ds + \int_0^t \alpha A_1 (z(s-r) + M(s-r))ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \int_{-r}^0 \beta(\theta) A_2 (z(s+\theta) + M(s+\theta)) d\theta ds \\ &= \int_0^t Az(s)ds + \int_0^t \alpha A_1 z(s-r)ds + \int_0^t \int_{-r}^0 \beta(\theta) A_2 z(s+\theta) d\theta ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \left[AM(s) + \alpha A_1 M(s-r) + \int_{-r}^0 \beta(\theta) A_2 M(s+\theta) d\theta \right] ds. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

According to Step 1, we have $z \in L^2(\Omega \times [0, T]; Z) \cap L^2(\Omega; C([0, T]; W))$, and further we may obtain the explicit form of the solution of (3.8) as

$$\begin{aligned} z(t) &= y(t) - M(t) \\ &= \int_0^t G(t-s) \left[AM(s) + \alpha A_1 M(s-r) + \int_{-r}^0 \beta(\theta) A_2 M(s+\theta) d\theta \right] ds \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

for all $t \in [0, T]$. On the other hand, we may derive by using (3.6), Fubini's theorem and

Proposition 2.1 that for all $t \in [0, T]$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^t G(t-s)A \int_0^s f(u)dB(u)ds + \int_r^t G(t-s)\alpha A_1 \int_0^{s-r} f(u)dB(u)ds \\
& + \int_0^t G(t-s) \int_{-r}^0 \beta(\theta)A_2 \int_0^{s+\theta} f(u)dB(u)d\theta ds \\
& = \int_0^t \left[\int_u^t G(t-s)Af(u)ds + \int_u^{t-r} G(t-s)\alpha A_1 f(u)ds \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \int_{-r}^0 \beta(\theta)G(t-s)A_2 \int_0^{s+\theta} f(u)d\theta ds \right] dB(u) \\
& = - \int_0^t \int_u^t \frac{dG(t-s)}{ds} f(u)ds dB(u) = \int_0^t (G(t-u) - I)f(u)dB(u),
\end{aligned}$$

which, in addition to (3.9), immediately implies that

$$y(t) = \int_0^t G(t-u)f(u)dB(u), \quad t \in [0, T],$$

and $y(t) = z(t) + M(t) \in L^2(\Omega \times [0, T]; Z) \cap L^2(\Omega; C([0, T]; W))$.

Last, the general result can be easily obtained by choosing a sequence $\{f_n\} \in L^2(\Omega \times [0, T]; Z)$ such that $f_n \rightarrow f$ in $L^2(\Omega \times [0, T]; X)$ and passing on a limit procedure. The proof is thus complete. $\square$

For each $t > 0$, we introduce the operator-valued function $U_t(\cdot)$ defined by

$$U_t(\theta) = \alpha G(t - \theta - r)A_1 + \int_{-r}^\theta \beta(\tau)G(t - \theta + \tau)A_2 d\tau, \quad \theta \in [-r, 0].$$

Let $T > 0$ and we consider in association with $U_t(\cdot)$ a linear operator $U : L^2([-r, 0]; Z) \rightarrow L^2([0, T]; Z)$ defined by

$$(U\varphi)(t) = \int_{-r}^0 U_t(\theta)\varphi(\theta)d\theta, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad \varphi \in L^2([-r, 0]; Z).$$

We may see that U is into and bounded. To show this, it is useful to introduce the structure operator $S : L^2([-r, 0]; Z) \rightarrow L^2([-r, 0]; X)$ given by

$$[S\varphi](\theta) = \alpha A_1 \varphi(-r - \theta) + \int_{-r}^\theta \beta(\tau)A_2 \varphi(\tau - \theta)d\tau, \quad \theta \in [-r, 0], \quad a.e. \quad (3.10)$$

for all $\varphi \in L^2([-r, 0]; Z)$. By using Cauchy-Schwartz's inequality, we have for any $\varphi \in L^2([-r, 0]; Z)$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{-r}^0 \|S\varphi(\theta)\|_X^2 d\theta &= \int_{-r}^0 \left\| \alpha A_1 \varphi(-r - \theta) + \int_{-r}^\theta \beta(\tau)A_2 \varphi(\tau - \theta)d\tau \right\|_X^2 d\theta \\
&\leq 2 \int_{-r}^0 \|\alpha A_1 \varphi(-r - \theta)\|_X^2 d\theta + 2 \int_{-r}^0 \left\| \int_{-r}^\theta \beta(\tau)A_2 \varphi(\tau - \theta)d\tau \right\|_X^2 d\theta \quad (3.11) \\
&\leq 2 \left(\alpha^2 \|A_1\|_{\mathcal{L}(Z, X)}^2 + r \|A_2\|_{\mathcal{L}(Z, X)}^2 \|\beta\|_{L^2([-r, 0]; \mathbb{R})}^2 \right) \int_{-r}^0 \|\varphi(\theta)\|_Z^2 d\theta.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we see that S is into and bounded. Further, we define the structure operator $\mathcal{S} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow W \times L^2([-r, 0]; X)$ by

$$\mathcal{S}\Phi = (\phi_0, S\phi_1), \quad \forall \Phi = (\phi_0, \phi_1) \in \mathcal{X}. \quad (3.12)$$

It is straightforward to see that $\mathcal{S}$ is linear and bounded. In terms of S , we can further derive by Fubini's theorem that for $t \in [0, T]$,

$$(U\varphi)(t) = \int_{-r}^0 G(t+\theta)[S\varphi](\theta)d\theta = \int_0^t G(t-\theta)\bar{\varphi}(\theta)d\theta$$

where $\bar{\varphi}(\cdot) = \mathbf{1}_{[0,r]}[S\varphi](\cdot) \in L^2([0, T]; X)$. By Step 1 in the proofs of Proposition 3.1, it is easy to see that U is into and bounded.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $T > 0$, $\Phi = (\phi_0, \phi_1) \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}_0}(\Omega; \mathcal{X})$ and $f \in L^2(\Omega \times [0, T]; X)$, the solution y of (3.1) is represented by*

$$\begin{aligned} y(t, \Phi) &= G(t)\phi_0 + \int_{-r}^0 U_t(\theta)\phi_1(\theta)d\theta + \int_0^t G(t-s)f(s)dB(s) \\ &= G(t)\phi_0 + \int_{-r}^0 G(t+\theta)(S\phi_1)(\theta)d\theta + \int_0^t G(t-s)f(s)dB(s), \quad t \in [0, T]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

Proof. By the uniqueness of solutions in the class $L^2(\Omega \times [0, T]; Z) \cap L^2(\Omega; C([0, T]; W))$, it is obvious from the definition of fundamental solution G and Proposition 3.1 that

$$y(t, \Phi) = G(t)\phi_0 + \int_0^t G(t-s)f(s)dB(s) \quad \text{for } \Phi = (\phi_0, 0), \quad \phi_0 \in W. \quad (3.14)$$

For $f = 0$, $\phi_0 = 0$ and $\phi_1 \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}_0}([-r, 0]; Z)$, it can be shown as in [8] that

$$y(t, \Phi) = \int_{-r}^0 U_t(\theta)\phi_1(\theta)d\theta, \quad \Phi = (0, \phi_1) \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}_0}(\Omega; \mathcal{X}). \quad (3.15)$$

Combining (3.14) and (3.15), we may easily show the formula (3.13). $\square$

4 Stationary Solution

We consider the system (3.1) with deterministic initial data $\Phi \in \mathcal{X}$ and $f(\cdot) \equiv f \in X$.

Definition 4.1. A solution $y = \{y(t); t \geq -r\}$ of (3.1) is called *strongly stationary*, or simply *stationary*, if for any $h_1, \dots, h_n \in W$,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(i \sum_{k=1}^n \langle y(t_k + s), h_k \rangle_W \right) \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(i \sum_{k=1}^n \langle y(t_k), h_k \rangle_W \right) \right], \quad (4.1)$$

for all $s \geq 0$, $t_k \geq -r$, $k = 1, \dots, n$. We say that (3.1) has a stationary solution y if there exists an initial $\Phi = (\phi_0, \phi_1) \in \mathcal{X}$ such that $y(t, \Phi)$, $t \geq 0$, is a stationary solution of (3.1) with $y(0) = \phi_0$, $y_0 = \phi_1$. A stationary solution is said to be *uniquely determined* if any two stationary solutions of (3.1) have the same finite dimensional distributions.

Theorem 4.1. Suppose that the C_0 -semigroup e^{tA} , $t \geq 0$, defined in (2.9) is exponentially stable, i.e., there exist constants $M \geq 1$ and $\mu > 0$ such that

$$\|e^{tA}\| \leq Me^{-\mu t} \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0, \quad (4.2)$$

then there exists a unique stationary solution of (3.1). This stationary solution is a zero mean Gaussian process with the covariance operator $K(\cdot)$ given on Z by

$$K(t) = \int_0^\infty (G(t+s)f) \otimes (G(s)f) ds, \quad t \geq -r. \quad (4.3)$$

Here for $a, b \in W$, $(a \otimes b)v := a\langle b, v \rangle_W \in W$ for any $v \in W$. Moreover, for any $x \in Z$, $K(t)x \in Z$, $K(t)x$ is strongly differentiable and

$$\frac{dK(t)}{dt}x = AK(t)x + \alpha A_1 K(t-r)x + \int_{-r}^0 \beta(\theta) A_2 K(t+\theta)x d\theta, \quad t \geq 0. \quad (4.4)$$

Proof. For any $x \in W$, let $\Phi = (x, 0)$. For such an initial $\Phi \in \mathcal{X}$, $G(t)x = y(t, \Phi)$, the solution of (2.6) with $f \equiv 0$, and we thus have by virtue of (4.2) that

$$\|G(t)x\|_W \leq \|e^{tA}\Phi\|_{\mathcal{X}} \leq Me^{-\mu t}\|\Phi\|_{\mathcal{X}} = Me^{-\mu t}\|x\|_W \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0, \quad (4.5)$$

where $M \geq 1$ and $\mu > 0$. Next, we split the remaining proofs into several steps.

Step 1. Let $B_1(t)$ and $B_2(t)$, $t \geq 0$, be two independent real-valued Brownian motion. We first extend them to obtain a two-sided Brownian motion on the whole time axis $\mathbb{R}^1$ by

$$B(t) = \begin{cases} B_1(t), & t \geq 0, \\ -B_2(-t), & t < 0, \end{cases} \quad (4.6)$$

and for $t \geq -r$, let

$$U(t) := \int_{-\infty}^t G(t-s)f dB(s) \quad (4.7)$$

By virtue of (4.5), it is easy to see that the process $U(t) \in Z \subset W$, $t > 0$, in (4.7) is well-defined. Also it is immediate that $\mathbb{E}U(t) = 0$ and the process $U(t)$, $t \geq 0$, is Gaussian. Moreover, let $-r \leq t_1 < \dots < t_n$, we have for any $h_1, \dots, h_n \in W$ that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \exp \left(i \sum_{k=1}^n \langle h_k, U(t_k) \rangle_W \right) \\ &= \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \left[\int_{t_n}^\infty \sum_{i,j=1}^n \langle (G(t_i+s-t_n)f) \otimes (G(t_j+s-t_n)f) h_i, h_j \rangle_W ds \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. + \mathbf{1}_{\{t_n > 0\}} \int_0^{t_n} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \langle (G(t_i+s-t_n)f) \otimes (G(t_j+s-t_n)f) h_i, h_j \rangle_W ds \right] \right\} \\ &= \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \left[\int_0^\infty \sum_{i,j=1}^n \langle (G(t_i-t_j+s)f) \otimes G(s)f h_i, h_j \rangle_W ds \right] \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

Thus, the process U is stationary in the sense of (4.1). Moreover, from (4.8) we get that this stationary solution is a zero mean Gaussian process with covariance operator given by (4.3).

Step 2. We show that $U(t)$, $t \geq -r$, in (4.7) is a solution of (3.1). To this end, let $v \in X^*$ and by using the stochastic Fubini's theorem, Corollary 2.1 and the fact that $G(t) = 0$ for $t < 0$, we have for any $t \geq 0$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^t \langle U(s), A^*v \rangle_{Z, Z^*} ds + \left\langle \int_{-\infty}^0 G(-u) f dB(u), v \right\rangle_{X, X^*} \\
&= \int_0^t \left\langle \int_{-\infty}^s G(s-u) f dB(u), A^*v \right\rangle_{Z, Z^*} ds + \left\langle \int_{-\infty}^0 G(-u) f dB(u), v \right\rangle_{X, X^*} \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^0 \left\langle f dB(u), \int_0^t \frac{d}{ds} G^*(s-u) v ds \right\rangle_{X, X^*} + \int_0^t \left\langle f dB(u), \int_u^t \frac{d}{ds} G^*(s-u) v ds \right\rangle_{X, X^*} \\
&\quad + \left\langle \int_{-\infty}^0 G(-u) f dB(u), v \right\rangle_{X, X^*} \\
&\quad - \int_0^t \left\langle \int_{-\infty}^s \alpha A_1 G(s-u-r) f dB(u) + \int_{-\infty}^s \int_{-r}^0 \beta(\theta) A_2 G(s-u+\theta) f d\theta dB(u), v \right\rangle_{X, X^*} ds \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^t \left\langle f dB(u), G^*(t-u) v \right\rangle_{X, X^*} - \int_{-\infty}^0 \left\langle f dB(u), G^*(-u) v \right\rangle_{X, X^*} - \int_0^t \langle f dB(u), v \rangle_{X, X^*} \\
&\quad + \left\langle \int_{-\infty}^0 G(-u) f dB(u), v \right\rangle_{X, X^*} - \int_0^t \left\langle \int_{-\infty}^{s-r} \alpha A_1 G(s-r-u) f dB(u) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \int_{-r}^0 \int_{-\infty}^{s+\theta} \beta(\theta) A_2 G(s-u+\theta) f dB(u) d\theta, v \right\rangle_{X, X^*} ds \\
&= \left\langle U(t) - \int_0^t f dB(u), v \right\rangle_{X, X^*} - \int_0^t \left\langle \alpha A_1 U(s-r) + \int_{-r}^0 \beta(\theta) A_2 U(s+\theta) d\theta, v \right\rangle_{X, X^*} ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $v \in X^*$ is arbitrary, we get that $U(t)$, $t \geq 0$, in (4.7) is a solution of (3.1).

Step 3. To show the remainder of the theorem, we use Corollary 2.1 to derive that for any $v \in X^*$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left[\alpha A_1 K(t-r) + \int_{-r}^0 \beta(\theta) A_2 K(t+\theta) d\theta \right]^* v \\
&= \int_0^\infty \alpha (G(s)^* f) \otimes (G(t+s-r)^* f) A_1^* v ds \\
&\quad + \int_{-r}^0 \beta(\theta) \int_0^\infty (G(s)^* f) \otimes (G(t+s-r)^* f) A_2^* v ds d\theta \\
&= \int_0^\infty \left((G^*(s) f) \otimes \frac{d}{dt} (G^*(s+t) f) \right) v ds - \int_0^\infty \left((G^*(s) f) \otimes (G^*(s+t) f) A^* \right) v ds \\
&= \frac{d}{dt} K^*(t) v - K^*(t) A^* v.
\end{aligned}$$

From this, one can easily get that the derivative $(dK(t)/dt)x$ exists for any $x \in Z$ and moreover the equality (4.4) holds true. The proof is thus complete. $\square$

In the sequel we shall use Theorem 4.1 to find stationary solutions for the fundamental model equation (3.1). It is well known that when the solution semigroup e^{tA} , $t \geq 0$, of (3.1) and its infinitesimal generator A defined in (2.9) and (2.11) satisfy the spectral mapping theorem, then

$$\sup\{\operatorname{Re} \lambda : \lambda \in \sigma(A)\} = \inf\{\mu \in \mathbb{R} : \|e^{tA}\| \leq Me^{\mu t} \text{ for some } M > 0\}. \quad (4.9)$$

In other words, the stability properties of the semigroup e^{tA} , $t \geq 0$, can be obtained by the location of the spectrum of A . For instance, this can be done when the semigroup, e^{tA} , $t \geq 0$, is compact. In [11, 13], it is shown that if A generates a compact semigroup and A_1 , A_2 both are bounded, then the semigroup e^{tA} , $t \geq 0$, is eventually compact. In this case, the relation (4.9) could be used to obtain stationary solutions of (3.1).

When A_1 , A_2 are unbounded, the situation becomes quite complicated. For instance, let us consider Example 1.1 where A generates a compact semigroup with $Z = \mathcal{D}(A)$, $A_1 \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{D}(A), X)$ and $A_2 = 0$, it was shown that the associated solution semigroup e^{tA} , $t \geq 0$, in (3.1) is generally not compact (see [5]) or even not eventually norm continuous (see [7]). On the other hand, for Example 1.2 with $A_1 = 0$ and $A_2 \in \mathcal{L}(V, V^*)$, the solution semigroup e^{tA} , $t \geq 0$, in (3.1) is generally not compact, although it could be eventually norm continuous (see [7]). Due to this complexity, it is necessary for us to find stationary solutions for the stochastic system (3.1) by handling the discrete and distributed delays separately.

5 Unbounded Delay Operators

We first state some results about the following deterministic equation

$$\begin{cases} dy(t)/dt = Ay(t) + \alpha Ay(t-r) + \int_{-r}^0 \beta(\theta)Ay(t+\theta)d\theta, & t \geq 0, \\ y(0) = \phi_0, \quad y_0 = \phi_1, \quad \Phi = (\phi_0, \phi_1) \in \mathcal{X}, \end{cases} \quad (5.1)$$

where $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\beta \in L^1([-r, 0]; \mathbb{R})$. In this case, the characteristic operator Δ defined in (2.12) is given by $\Delta(\lambda)x = \lambda x - n(\lambda)Ax$ for each $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, $x \in \mathcal{D}(A)$, where

$$n(\lambda) = 1 + \alpha e^{-\lambda r} + \int_{-r}^0 \beta(\theta)e^{\lambda\theta}d\theta, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (5.2)$$

In addition, we define

$$\begin{cases} \Gamma_C = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : n(\lambda) \neq 0, \lambda n(\lambda)^{-1} \in \sigma_C(A)\}, \\ \Gamma_R = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : n(\lambda) \neq 0, \lambda n(\lambda)^{-1} \in \sigma_R(A)\}, \\ \Gamma_P = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : n(\lambda) \neq 0, \lambda n(\lambda)^{-1} \in \sigma_P(A)\}, \\ \Gamma_0 = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda \neq 0, n(\lambda) = 0\}, \\ \Gamma_1 = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : n(\lambda) \neq 0, \lambda n(\lambda)^{-1} \in \sigma(A)\}. \end{cases} \quad (5.3)$$

Proposition 5.1. (See [15]) *For the characteristic operator Δ and the associated generator $\mathcal{A}$ of the equation (5.1), it is true that*

$$(i) \Gamma_0 \subset \sigma_C(\mathcal{A}) \subset \sigma_C(\Delta) = \Gamma_C \cup \Gamma_0;$$

$$(ii) \sigma_R(\mathcal{A}) = \sigma_R(\Delta) = \Gamma_R;$$

$$(iii)$$

$$\sigma_P(\mathcal{A}) = \sigma_P(\Delta) = \begin{cases} \Gamma_P & \text{if } 1 + \alpha + \int_{-r}^0 \beta(\theta) d\theta \neq 0, \\ \Gamma_P \cup \{0\} & \text{if } 1 + \alpha + \int_{-r}^0 \beta(\theta) d\theta = 0. \end{cases}$$

5.1 Distributed Delay

Now we pass on to consider the equation (3.1) with $A_1 = 0$, $A_2 = A$ and $f(\cdot) = f \in W$, i.e.,

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dy(t)}{dt} = Ay(t) + \int_{-r}^0 \beta(\theta) Ay(t+\theta) d\theta + f\dot{B}(t), & t \geq 0, \\ y(0) = \phi_0, \quad y_0 = \phi_1, \quad \Phi = (\phi_0, \phi_1) \in \mathcal{X}, \end{cases} \quad (5.4)$$

where A is either assumed to generate an analytic semigroup on a Hilbert space $X = H$ as in Example 1.1 or given by a sesquilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ as in Example 1.2. In the first case, it was shown by [5] that when the weight function $\beta(\cdot)$ belongs to $W^{1,2}([-r, 0]; \mathbb{R})$, the associated solution semigroup e^{tA} , $t \geq 0$, is differentiable for $t > r$ or the solution semigroup is norm continuous for $t > 3r$ when $\beta(\cdot)$ is Hölder continuous in the second, both of which imply further that (4.9) is fulfilled. Hence, we can describe conditions ensuring a unique stationary solution to the equation (5.4) by showing

$$\sup\{\operatorname{Re} \lambda : \lambda \in \sigma(\mathcal{A})\} < 0. \quad (5.5)$$

Proposition 5.2. *Suppose that $\sigma(A) \subset (-\infty, -c_0]$ for some $c_0 > 0$ and the function β in (5.4) satisfies*

$$\|\beta\|_{L^1([-r, 0]; \mathbb{R})} < 1. \quad (5.6)$$

Then there exists a unique stationary solution for the equation (5.4).

Proof. Note that from Proposition 5.1 we have $\sigma(\mathcal{A}) \subset \Gamma_0 \cap \Gamma_1$. We shall show that under the assumptions in the theorem, there is a constant $\mu > 0$ such that $\operatorname{Re} \lambda \leq -\mu$ for all $\lambda \in \Gamma_0 \cap \Gamma_1$ and hence for all $\lambda \in \sigma(\mathcal{A})$.

First, for elements in Γ_0 , if there exist a sequence $\{\lambda_n\} \subset \mathbb{C}$ such that $\operatorname{Re} \lambda_n \geq 0$ or $\operatorname{Re} \lambda_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then by (5.2) and Dominated Convergence Theorem, it follows that

$$1 = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_{-r}^0 \beta(\theta) e^{\lambda_n \theta} d\theta \right| \leq \int_{-r}^0 |\beta(\theta)| d\theta < 1,$$

which is clearly a contradiction. Thus the desired result is obtained.

Now we consider elements in Γ_1 . If there exist a sequence $\{\lambda_n\} \subset \mathbb{C}$ such that $\operatorname{Re} \lambda_n \geq 0$ or $\operatorname{Re} \lambda_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ with $\lambda_n/n(\lambda_n) =: -\delta_n \leq -c_0$, then we get by taking the real part of the equation into account that

$$1 + \frac{\operatorname{Re} \lambda_n}{\delta_n} = - \int_{-r}^0 \beta(\theta) e^{(\operatorname{Re} \lambda_n) \theta} \cos[(\operatorname{Im} \lambda_n) \theta] d\theta.$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ and using Dominated Convergence Theorem, we get immediately that

$$1 \leq 1 + \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\operatorname{Re} \lambda_n}{c_0} \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_{-r}^0 \beta(\theta) e^{(\operatorname{Re} \lambda_n) \theta} \cos[(\operatorname{Im} \lambda_n) \theta] d\theta \right| = \int_{-r}^0 |\beta(\theta)| d\theta < 1,$$

which, once again, yields a contradiction. Combining the above results, we thus obtain that

$$\operatorname{Re} \lambda \leq -\mu \quad \text{for some } \mu > 0 \quad \text{and all } \lambda \in \sigma(\mathcal{A}).$$

Therefore, the solution semigroup $e^{t\mathcal{A}}$, $t \geq 0$, is exponentially stable. This fact further implies that there exists a unique stationary solution of (5.4). The proof is complete. $\square$

Remark 5.1. The condition (5.6) is optimal in the sense that if we replace (5.6) by

$$\|\beta\|_{L^1([-r,0];\mathbb{R})} < 1 + \varepsilon \quad \text{for some } \varepsilon > 0, \quad (5.7)$$

then there may not exist a unique stationary solution. Indeed, in this case let us choose $\beta = -\frac{1+\varepsilon/2}{r}$, which clearly satisfies (5.7). We shall show that for such a value β , the solution system $e^{t\mathcal{A}}$, $t \geq 0$, could be unstable. To see this, it suffices to prove that there exists a number $\lambda = x + iy \in \Gamma_0$ with $y = 0$ and $x > 0$ according to Proposition 5.1 (i).

To this end, let us consider numbers $\lambda = x + iy \in \Gamma_0$ with $y = 0$. Suppose that $\beta(\theta) \equiv \beta < 0$ in (5.4) and we analyze the roots of the equation

$$x + \beta(1 - e^{-rx}) = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (5.8)$$

We put $f(x) = x + \beta(1 - e^{-rx})$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Then it is easy to see that $f'(x) = 1 + \beta r e^{-rx}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$. By solving the equation $f'(x) = 0$, we get $x = \ln(-\beta r)/r$ which is the unique stationary point of f . Since $f''(x) = -\beta r^2 e^{-rx} > 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, the function f takes its minimum value at $x = \ln(-\beta r)/r$. As $-\frac{1+\varepsilon/2}{r} < -1/r$, the minimum point $x = \ln(-\beta r)/r > 0$. Since $x = 0$ is a solution of (5.8), the other solution x of (5.8) thus satisfies $x > \ln(-\beta r)/r > 0$.

Example 5.1. We give an application of Proposition 5.2 to the initial-boundary value problem of Dirichlet type of the stochastic retarded Laplace equation:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial y(t, x)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 y(t, x)}{\partial x^2} + \int_{-r}^0 a e^{b\theta} \cdot \frac{\partial^2 y(t + \theta, x)}{\partial x^2} d\theta + f(x) \dot{B}(t), & t \geq 0, \quad x \in \mathcal{O}, \\ y(0, \cdot) = \phi_0(\cdot) \in W_0^{1,2}(\mathcal{O}; \mathbb{R}), \\ y(t, \cdot) = \phi_1(t, \cdot) \in W^{2,2}(\mathcal{O}; \mathbb{R}) \cap W_0^{1,2}(\mathcal{O}; \mathbb{R}), & \text{a.e. } t \in [-r, 0). \end{cases} \quad (5.9)$$

Here $\mathcal{O}$ is a bounded open subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$ with regular boundary $\partial\mathcal{O}$, $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, $r > 0$ and $f \in L^2(\mathcal{O}; \mathbb{R})$.

We can re-write (5.9) as a stochastic initial boundary problem (5.4) in the Hilbert space $H = L^2(\mathcal{O}; \mathbb{R})$ by setting

$$\begin{cases} A = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}, \\ Z = \mathcal{D}(A) = W^{2,2}(\mathcal{O}; \mathbb{R}^1) \cap W_0^{1,2}(\mathcal{O}; \mathbb{R}), \\ \beta(\theta) = ae^{b\theta}, \quad \theta \in [-r, 0]. \end{cases}$$

We can obtain a solution of (5.9) defined in $[0, \infty)$ and further apply those results derived in the section to obtain its stationary solutions. In fact, note that $A = \partial^2/\partial x^2$ is a self-adjoint and negative operator and its spectrum satisfies $\sigma(A) = \sigma_P(A) \subset (-\infty, -c_0]$ for some $c_0 > 0$. Then by Proposition 5.2 and a direct computation, we obtain that when

$$|a| \leq \begin{cases} e^{rb}/r, & \text{if } b \leq 0, \\ 1/r, & \text{if } b > 0, \end{cases}$$

the associated solution semigroup of (5.9) is exponentially stable. Moreover, in this case we know by Theorem 4.1 that the equation (5.9) has a unique stationary solution.

5.2 Discrete Delay

Now we want to consider the following stochastic system with discrete delay on a Hilbert space $X = H$ with $Z = \mathcal{D}(A)$,

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dy(t)}{dt} = Ay(t) + A_1y(t-r) + f\dot{B}(t), \quad t \geq 0, \\ y(0) = \phi_0, \quad y_0 = \phi_1, \quad \Phi = (\phi_0, \phi_1) \in \mathcal{X}, \end{cases} \quad (5.10)$$

where $A : \mathcal{D}(A) \subset H \rightarrow H$ generates an exponentially stable, analytic semigroup e^{tA} , $t \geq 0$, on the Hilbert space H and $A_1 \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{D}(A), H)$. In contrast with (5.4), the solution semigroup e^{tA} , $t \geq 0$, of (5.22) is generally not norm continuous even though A generates a compact semigroup. However, if we strengthen the conditions on A_1 , it is still possible for the associated semigroup e^{tA} , $t \geq 0$, to be compact and thus one can use the spectral mapping theorem again.

Lemma 5.1. *Assume that A generates an exponentially stable, analytic semigroup on H , i.e., $\|e^{tA}\| \leq Me^{-\mu t}$, $t \geq 0$, for some $M > 0$, $\mu > 0$. Further, if there exists a number $\delta \in [0, 1)$ such that $A_1 \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{D}((-A)^\delta), H)$, then $\Delta(\lambda)^{-1}$ is compact for all $\lambda \in \rho(\Delta)$ provided that A has compact resolvents.*

Proof. For arbitrary $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, we define $F_\lambda : \mathcal{D}((-A)^\delta) \rightarrow H$ by $F_\lambda x := F(e^\lambda x)$ for $x \in \mathcal{D}((-A)^\delta)$. It is easy to see that $F_\lambda \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{D}((-A)^\delta), H)$ (thus, $F_\lambda \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{D}(A), H)$). By Corollary 6.11, p. 73, Pazy [17] there is a constant $C > 0$ such that for every $\rho > 0$,

$$\|F_\lambda x\|_H \leq C(\rho^\delta \|x\|_H + \rho^{\delta-1} \|Ax\|_H), \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{D}(A). \quad (5.11)$$

This implies that F_λ is A -bounded with A -bound 0 (see Pazy [17]). Hence, $A + F_\lambda$ generates a C_0 -semigroup on H . In particular, $\mu \in \rho(A + F_\lambda)$ for $\operatorname{Re} \mu$ large enough.

Moreover, $\mu \in \rho(A)$ if $\operatorname{Re} \mu$ is large enough and we have for any fixed $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ that

$$(\mu I - A - F_\lambda) = (I - F_\lambda R(\mu, A))(\mu I - A).$$

Hence, if we can show that $\|F_\lambda R(\mu, A)\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)} < 1$, $\operatorname{Re} \mu \geq R$, for some $R > 0$, then it is true that

$$(\mu I - A - F_\lambda)^{-1} = R(\mu, A)[I - F_\lambda R(\mu, A)]^{-1} \quad \text{for any } \operatorname{Re} \mu \geq \delta_0. \quad (5.12)$$

To this end, we recall that the analyticity of e^{tA} implies that there exists a constant $M > 0$ such that

$$\|AR(\mu, A)\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)} \leq M \quad \text{for large } \operatorname{Re} \mu.$$

Let $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ and $a < \varepsilon/2M$. It follows by (5.11) that there exists $b > 0$ such that

$$\|F_\lambda R(\mu, A)x\|_H \leq a\|AR(\mu, A)x\|_H + b\|R(\mu, A)x\|_H, \quad \forall x \in H.$$

Now choose $\operatorname{Re} \mu$ large enough so that

$$b\|R(\mu, A)\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)} < \varepsilon/2.$$

Then it is easy to obtain that

$$\|F_\lambda R(\mu, A)\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)} \leq \varepsilon < 1 \quad \text{for large } \operatorname{Re} \mu \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Since $R(\mu, A)$ is compact on H , so is $(\mu I - A - F_\lambda)^{-1}$ according to (5.12). Last, let $\mu = \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, then $(\lambda I - A - F_\lambda)^{-1}$ with large $\operatorname{Re} \lambda$ (then, for all $\lambda \in \rho(\Delta)$) are compact, and the desired result is concluded. $\square$

Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and we introduce mappings $E_\lambda : Z \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$, $J_\lambda : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ and $H_\lambda : W \times L^2([-r, 0]; H) \rightarrow H$, respectively, by

$$\begin{cases} (E_\lambda x)_0 = x, \\ (E_\lambda x)_1(\theta) = e^{\lambda\theta}x, \quad \theta \in [-r, 0], \quad \text{for } x \in Z, \end{cases} \quad (5.13)$$

$$\begin{cases} (J_\lambda \Phi)_0 = 0, \\ (J_\lambda \Phi)_1(\theta) = \int_\theta^0 e^{\lambda(\theta-\tau)} \phi_1(\tau) d\tau, \quad \theta \in [-r, 0], \quad \text{for } \Phi \in \mathcal{X}, \end{cases} \quad (5.14)$$

$$(H_\lambda \Phi) = \phi_0 + \int_{-r}^0 e^{\lambda\tau} \phi_1(\tau) d\tau, \quad \text{for } \Phi \in W \times L^2([-r, 0]; H). \quad (5.15)$$

It is immediate to know that all the four operators E_λ , J_λ , K_λ and H_λ are linear and bounded.

Lemma 5.2. *Suppose that A generates a compact semigroup e^{tA} for $t > 0$. Under the same conditions as in Lemma 5.1, it is true that $R(\lambda, \mathcal{A})e^{rA}$ is compact for some $\lambda \in \rho(\mathcal{A})$.*

Proof. By definition, it is not difficult to get that

$$R(\lambda, \mathcal{A}) = E_\lambda \Delta(\lambda)^{-1} H_\lambda \mathcal{S} + J_\lambda, \quad \lambda \in \rho(\mathcal{A}), \quad (5.16)$$

which immediately implies that $\rho(\Delta) = \rho(\mathcal{A})$. Here $\mathcal{S}$ is the structure operator given in (3.12). Let $\pi_0 : W \times L^2([-r, 0]; \mathcal{D}(A)) \rightarrow W$ and $\pi_1 : W \times L^2([-r, 0]; \mathcal{D}(A)) \rightarrow L^2([-r, 0]; \mathcal{D}(A))$ denote the canonical projections on W and $L^2([-r, 0]; \mathcal{D}(A))$, respectively.

Since $\Delta(\lambda)^{-1}$ is compact for all $\lambda \in \rho(\Delta)$ by virtue of Lemma 5.1, we have by using (5.16) and the compactness of $\Delta(\lambda)^{-1}$ to get that

$$\pi_0 R(\lambda, \mathcal{A}) e^{r\mathcal{A}} = \pi_0 E_\lambda \Delta(\lambda)^{-1} H_\lambda \mathcal{S} e^{r\mathcal{A}} \quad (5.17)$$

is compact.

Now we restrict our attention to $\pi_1 R(\lambda, \mathcal{A}) e^{r\mathcal{A}} : W \times L^2([-r, 0]; \mathcal{D}(A)) \rightarrow L^2([-r, 0]; \mathcal{D}(A))$ for any fixed $\lambda \in \rho(\mathcal{A})$. Note that

$$\frac{d}{d\theta} \pi_1 R(\lambda, \mathcal{A}) e^{r\mathcal{A}} = \pi_1 \mathcal{A} R(\lambda, \mathcal{A}) e^{r\mathcal{A}},$$

and $\|e^{r\mathcal{A}}\| \leq M$, $\|\mathcal{A} R(\lambda, \mathcal{A})\| \leq M$ for some $M > 0$. Hence, for any $\Phi = (\phi_0, \phi_1) \in \mathcal{X}$ we can deduce by using Hölder's inequality that for all $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in [-r, 0]$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|[\pi_1 R(\lambda, \mathcal{A}) e^{r\mathcal{A}} \Phi](\theta_2) - [\pi_1 R(\lambda, \mathcal{A}) e^{r\mathcal{A}} \Phi](\theta_1)\|_{\mathcal{D}(A)} \\ &= \left\| \int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} \left[\frac{d}{d\theta} \pi_1 R(\lambda, \mathcal{A}) e^{r\mathcal{A}} \Phi \right](\theta) d\theta \right\|_{\mathcal{D}(A)} \\ &= \left\| \int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} [\pi_1 \mathcal{A} R(\lambda, \mathcal{A}) e^{r\mathcal{A}} \Phi](\theta) d\theta \right\|_{\mathcal{D}(A)} \\ &\leq \int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} \|[\pi_1 \mathcal{A} R(\lambda, \mathcal{A}) e^{r\mathcal{A}} \Phi](\theta)\|_{\mathcal{D}(A)} d\theta \\ &\leq (\theta_2 - \theta_1)^{1/2} \left\| \pi_1 \mathcal{A} R(\lambda, \mathcal{A}) e^{r\mathcal{A}} \Phi \right\|_{L^2([-r, 0]; \mathcal{D}(A))} \\ &\leq M |\theta_2 - \theta_1|^{1/2} \|\Phi\|_{\mathcal{X}}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that the family

$$\Sigma := \left\{ \pi_1 R(\lambda, \mathcal{A}) e^{r\mathcal{A}} \Phi : \Phi \in \mathcal{X}, \|\Phi\|_{\mathcal{X}} \leq 1 \right\} \subset C([-r, 0]; \mathcal{D}(A)) \quad (5.18)$$

is equi-continuous. On the other hand, we have for any $\theta \in [-r, 0]$ that

$$\begin{aligned} [\pi_1 R(\lambda, \mathcal{A}) e^{r\mathcal{A}} \Phi](\theta) &= [\pi_1 e^{r\mathcal{A}} R(\lambda, \mathcal{A}) \Phi](\theta) \\ &= [\pi_1 e^{(r+\theta)\mathcal{A}} R(\lambda, \mathcal{A}) \Phi](0) \\ &= [\pi_1 R(\lambda, \mathcal{A}) e^{(r+\theta)\mathcal{A}} \Phi](0) \\ &= \pi_0 R(\lambda, \mathcal{A}) e^{(r+\theta)\mathcal{A}} \Phi. \end{aligned} \quad (5.19)$$

By virtue of (5.16), (5.19) and the fact that $\Delta(\lambda)^{-1}$ is compact, we get that Σ in (5.18) is pointwise relatively compact. Hence, we find by virtue of Ascoli-Arzelà theorem that Σ is relatively compact in $C([-r, 0]; \mathcal{D}(A))$ and further relatively compact in $L^2([-r, 0]; \mathcal{D}(A))$. From this we conclude that $\pi_1 R(\lambda, \mathcal{A})e^{r\mathcal{A}}$ is compact which, in addition to (5.17), implies the compactness of $R(\lambda, \mathcal{A})e^{r\mathcal{A}}$. The proof is thus complete. $\square$

Theorem 5.1. *Under the same conditions as in Lemma 5.1, we have that the semigroup $e^{t\mathcal{A}}$, $t \geq 0$, is compact for all $t > r$ provided that A generates a compact semigroup e^{tA} for $t > 0$.*

Proof. It suffices to show that $e^{t\mathcal{A}}$, $t \geq 0$, is norm continuous for $t > r$ and $R(\lambda, \mathcal{A})e^{r\mathcal{A}}$ is compact for some $\lambda \in \rho(\mathcal{A})$ (see [6], Lemma II, 4.28).

Since e^{tA} is compact (thus, norm continuous) for $t > 0$, by a similar argument to Proposition 6.2 in [12], it is possible to show that $e^{t\mathcal{A}}$ is norm continuous for $t > r$. In addition to Lemma 5.2, it follows that $e^{t\mathcal{A}}$ is compact for all $t > r$. The proof is complete now. $\square$

Example 5.2. Consider the following stochastic partial differential equation with delay

$$\begin{cases} \partial y(x, t)/\partial t = \Delta y(x, t) + \alpha(-\Delta)^\delta y(x, t-1) + f(x)dB(t), & x \in \mathcal{O}, \quad t \geq 0, \\ y(x, t) = 0, & x \in \partial\mathcal{O}, \quad t \geq 0, \\ y(x, t) = \varphi(x, t), & (x, t) \in \mathcal{O} \times [-1, 0], \end{cases} \quad (5.20)$$

where Δ is the standard Laplacian operator, $\delta \in [0, 1)$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ a bounded open set with smooth boundary. Let $H = L^2(\mathcal{O})$ and the Dirichlet-Laplacian

$$A = \Delta \text{ with domain } \mathcal{D}(A) = \{u \in H_0^1(\mathcal{O}) : \Delta u \in L^2(\mathcal{O})\}.$$

It is claimed that the equation (5.20) has a unique stationary solution if

$$2|\alpha| < |\lambda_1|^{1-\delta}$$

where λ_1 is the first eigenvalue of the Dirichlet-Laplacian.

Indeed, we have

$$\begin{cases} F = \alpha(-\Delta)^\delta \delta_{-1}, \\ F_\lambda = \alpha e^{-\lambda}(-\Delta)^\delta. \end{cases}$$

Here we define $\delta_{-1} : C([-1, 0]; \mathcal{D}((-\Delta)^\delta)) \rightarrow H$ by $\delta_{-1}(\varphi) = \varphi(-1)$. Since A is a self-adjoint operator on H , we can compute for $a \in \mathbb{R}$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \|F_{ia}R(ia, A)\| &\leq \alpha\|(-A)^\delta R(ia, A)\| \\ &\leq \alpha\|(-A)^{\delta-1}\|\|AR(ia, A)\| \\ &\leq \alpha\|(-A)^{\delta-1}\|(|a|\|R(ia, A)\| + 1) \\ &= \alpha\|(-A)^{\delta-1}\|\left(\frac{|a|}{\sqrt{a^2 + \lambda_1^2}} + 1\right), \end{aligned}$$

which yields immediately that

$$\sup_{a \in \mathbb{R}} \|F_{ia}R(ia, A)\| \leq \frac{2|\alpha|}{|\lambda_1|^{1-\delta}} < 1.$$

By virtue of Phragmen-Lindelöf Theorem (see Conway [3], Theorem VI. 4.1), it follows that

$$\sup_{\operatorname{Re} \lambda \geq 0} \|F_\lambda R(\lambda, A)\| < 1. \quad (5.21)$$

The relation (5.21) ensures the existence of $\Delta(\lambda)^{-1}$ on the halfplane $\{\operatorname{Re} \lambda \geq 0\}$ which is given by the Neumann series

$$\Delta(\lambda)^{-1} = R(\lambda, A) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (F_\lambda R(\lambda, A))^n.$$

Hence, we have $\sup\{\operatorname{Re} \lambda : \lambda \in \sigma(\mathcal{A})\} < 0$. Since the associated semigroup $e^{t\mathcal{A}}$ is norm continuous for $t > r$, the growth bound of $\mathcal{A}$ thus satisfies

$$\inf\{\mu : \|e^{t\mathcal{A}}\| \leq Me^{\mu t} \text{ for some } M > 0\} = \sup\{\operatorname{Re} \lambda : \lambda \in \sigma(\mathcal{A})\} < 0.$$

That is, the solution semigroup $e^{t\mathcal{A}}$, $t \geq 0$, is exponentially stable, a fact which assures the existence of a unique stationary solution of the equation (5.20).

Now we return to consider the equation (5.10) with $A_1 = \alpha A$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, i.e.,

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dy(t)}{dt} = Ay(t) + \alpha Ay(t-r) + f\dot{B}(t), & t \geq 0, \\ y(0) = \phi_0, \quad y_0 = \phi_1, \quad \Phi = (\phi_0, \phi_1) \in \mathcal{X}, \end{cases} \quad (5.22)$$

where $A : \mathcal{D}(A) \subset H \rightarrow H$ generates an analytic semigroup e^{tA} , $t \geq 0$, on the Hilbert space H . On this occasion, the solution semigroup $e^{t\mathcal{A}}$, $t \geq 0$, of (5.22) is never compact, or even norm continuous. A direct consequence of this fact is that one cannot use the standard spectral mapping theorem to obtain stationary solutions for Equation (5.22).

In the sequel, we will employ a different method by estimating the growth bound through some resolvent estimates. More precisely, we estimate the growth bound by considering the abscissa of uniform boundedness of the resolvent of the generator $\mathcal{A}$ (cf. [2]).

Suppose that B is the infinitesimal generator of an arbitrary C_0 -semigroup on the Hilbert space H and $s(B)$ is defined as the infimum of all $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\{\operatorname{Re} \lambda > \mu\} \subset \rho(B)$ and $\sup_{\operatorname{Re} \lambda > \mu} \|R(\lambda, B)\| < \infty$, then (see, e.g., [6])

$$s(B) = \inf\{\mu \in \mathbb{R} : \|e^{tB}\| \leq Me^{\mu t} \text{ for some } M > 0\}.$$

Moreover, if the generator B satisfies the conditions of Gearhart-Prüss-Greiner Theorem:

$$\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re} \lambda > 0\} \subset \rho(B) \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{\operatorname{Re} \lambda > 0} \|R(\lambda, B)\| < \infty, \quad (5.23)$$

then $s(B) < 0$ and the semigroup e^{tB} , $t \geq 0$, is thus exponentially stable (cf. [6]).

We will consider the spectrum $\sigma(\mathcal{A})$ and the resolvent $R(\lambda, \mathcal{A})$ of the solution semigroup $e^{t\mathcal{A}}$, $t \geq 0$, of the equation (5.22). Recall that the characteristic operator $\Delta(\lambda) : \mathcal{D}(A) \rightarrow H$ for (5.22) is given on this occasion by

$$\Delta(\lambda)x = \lambda x - n(\lambda)Ax, \quad x \in \mathcal{D}(A),$$

where $n(\lambda) = 1 + \alpha e^{-\lambda r}$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.

Proposition 5.3. *For the equation (5.22), assume that $\sigma(A) \subset (-\infty, -c_0]$ for some $c_0 > 0$ and $|\alpha| < 1$, then it is valid that*

$$\sigma(\mathcal{A}) \subset \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re} \lambda < 0\}.$$

Proof. Since $|\alpha| < 1$, it follows that $n(0) \neq 0$ and by Proposition 5.1, $\sigma(\mathcal{A}) \subset \Gamma_0 \cap \Gamma_1$. We first assume $\lambda \in \Gamma_1$, then there is a $\gamma \in \sigma(A)$ such that $\lambda/n(\lambda) = \gamma < 0$. Let us denote $\lambda = x + iy \in \mathbb{C}$. Then the real part of the equation yields that

$$\frac{x}{1 + \alpha e^{-rx} \cos ry} = \gamma < 0. \quad (5.24)$$

If $x \geq 0$, then it follows by assumption that

$$|\alpha e^{-rx} \cos ry| \leq |\alpha| < 1.$$

This implies that $1 + \alpha e^{-rx} \cos ry > 0$, a fact which contradicts with (5.24), thus $x < 0$.

Now let $\lambda \in \Gamma_0$, then (5.2) and (5.3) imply that

$$1 + \alpha e^{-rx} \cos ry = 0. \quad (5.25)$$

If $x \geq 0$, we have from (5.25) that

$$1 = |\alpha e^{-rx} \cos ry| \leq |\alpha| < 1,$$

which is a contradiction again. Combining the above arguments and using Proposition 5.1, we obtain the desired results. The proof is complete now. $\square$

Now we are in a position to obtain the stationary solutions of the equation (5.22). To this end, we first present a useful lemma.

Lemma 5.3. *If there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for any $\lambda \in \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re} \lambda > 0\}$,*

$$\|x\|_{\mathcal{D}(A)} \leq C \|\Delta(\lambda)x\|_H \quad \text{for each } x \in \mathcal{D}(A), \quad (5.26)$$

then there exists a constant $M > 0$ such that

$$\|\Phi\|_{\mathcal{X}} \leq M \|(\lambda I - \mathcal{A})\Phi\|_{\mathcal{X}} \quad \text{for each } \Phi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}).$$

Proof. First observe that for arbitrary $x \in \mathcal{D}(A)$ and $y \in L^2([-r, 0]; \mathcal{D}(A))$, the function

$$u(\theta) = e^{\lambda\theta}x + \int_{\theta}^0 e^{\lambda(\theta-\tau)}y(\tau)d\tau, \quad \theta \in [-r, 0],$$

satisfies that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{L^2([-r, 0]; \mathcal{D}(A))}^2 &\leq 2\|e^{\lambda\cdot}x\|_{L^2([-r, 0]; \mathcal{D}(A))}^2 + 2\left\|\int_{\cdot}^0 e^{\lambda(\cdot-\tau)}y(\tau)d\tau\right\|_{L^2([-r, 0]; \mathcal{D}(A))}^2 \\ &\leq 2r\|x\|_{\mathcal{D}(A)}^2 + 2r^2\|y\|_{L^2([-r, 0]; \mathcal{D}(A))}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (5.27)$$

For any $\Phi = (\phi_1(0), \phi_1) \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})$, we set $\Psi = \lambda\Phi - \mathcal{A}\Phi$ and let $x = \phi_1(0) \in \mathcal{D}(A)$. By virtue of (2.15), the inequality (5.26) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi_1(0)\|_{\mathcal{D}(A)}^2 &\leq C\|\Delta(\lambda)\phi_1(0)\|_H^2 \\ &= C\left\|\alpha \int_{-r}^0 e^{\lambda(-r-\tau)}A\psi_1(\tau)d\tau + \psi_0\right\|_H^2 \\ &\leq 2C\{|\alpha|^2r\|A\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{D}(A), H)}^2\|\psi_1\|_{L^2([-r, 0]; \mathcal{D}(A))}^2 + \|\psi_0\|_H^2\}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.28)$$

Since $\mathcal{D}(A) \hookrightarrow W \hookrightarrow H$, there exists a constant $\gamma > 0$ such that

$$\|u\|_W \leq \gamma\|u\|_{\mathcal{D}(A)}, \quad \|v\|_H \leq \gamma\|v\|_W \quad \text{for any } u \in \mathcal{D}(A), v \in W. \quad (5.29)$$

Hence, from (5.29), (5.27) and (5.28) it follows that for arbitrary $\Phi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi\|_{\mathcal{X}}^2 &= \|\phi_1(0)\|_W^2 + \|\phi_1\|_{L^2([-r, 0]; \mathcal{D}(A))}^2 \\ &\leq \gamma^2\|\phi_1(0)\|_{\mathcal{D}(A)}^2 + 2r\|\phi_1(0)\|_{\mathcal{D}(A)}^2 + 2r^2\|\psi_1\|_{L^2([-r, 0]; \mathcal{D}(A))}^2 \\ &\leq 2C(\gamma^2 + 2r)\left\{|\alpha|^2r\|A\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{D}(A), H)}^2\|\psi_1\|_{L^2([-r, 0]; \mathcal{D}(A))}^2 + \|\psi_0\|_H^2\right\} + 2r^2\|\psi_1\|_{L^2([-r, 0]; \mathcal{D}(A))}^2, \end{aligned} \quad (5.30)$$

which, together with (5.29) and (5.30), further implies the existence of a constant $M > 0$ such that

$$\|\Phi\|_{\mathcal{X}}^2 \leq M\{\|\psi_1\|_{L^2([-r, 0]; \mathcal{D}(A))}^2 + \|\psi_0\|_W^2\} = M\|\Psi\|_{\mathcal{X}}^2 = M\|\lambda\Phi - \mathcal{A}\Phi\|_{\mathcal{X}}^2$$

for any $\Phi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})$. The proof is complete now. $\square$

Proposition 5.4. *Suppose that A is a self-adjoint operator on H . Under the same conditions as in Proposition 5.3, there exists a unique stationary solution of the equation (5.22).*

Proof. We show that under the conditions in Proposition 5.4, the associated solution semigroup e^{tA} , $t \geq 0$, of (5.22) is exponentially stable.

Indeed, it is clear that the inverse of the characteristic operator $\Delta(\lambda) = \lambda I - n(\lambda)A$ exists and $\Delta(\lambda)^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ whenever

$$n(\lambda) \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \left(\frac{\lambda}{n(\lambda)}I - A\right)^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}(H).$$

In this case, the inverse is actually given by

$$\Delta(\lambda)^{-1} = \frac{1}{n(\lambda)} \left(\frac{\lambda}{n(\lambda)} I - A \right)^{-1}. \quad (5.31)$$

Let $z = \lambda/n(\lambda)$ and $R(z, A) = (\frac{\lambda}{n(\lambda)} I - A)^{-1}$. We shall study the operator $R(z, A)$ with $\operatorname{Re} \lambda > 0$. We show that for $\operatorname{Re} \lambda > 0$, $z \in \Sigma \subset \rho(A)$ where

$$\Sigma := \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\arg \lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2} + \theta \right\} \quad \text{for some } \theta \in (0, \pi/2).$$

Let us denote $\lambda = x + iy \in \mathbb{C}$ and assume $x > 0$. By definition we have

$$\operatorname{Im} n(\lambda) = \alpha e^{-rx} \sin ry \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{Re} n(\lambda) = 1 + \alpha e^{-rx} \cos ry.$$

Since $|e^{-rx} \sin ry| \leq 1$ and $\alpha e^{-rx} \cos ry \leq |\alpha| < 1$, we obtain that

$$1 - |\alpha| < |1 + \alpha e^{-rx} \cos ry|,$$

and further

$$\frac{|\operatorname{Im} n(\lambda)|}{|\operatorname{Re} n(\lambda)|} \leq \frac{|\alpha|}{1 - |\alpha|} < \infty.$$

This means that

$$|\arg n(\lambda)| < \theta < \frac{\pi}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad |\arg z| < \frac{\pi}{2} + \theta < \pi.$$

By assumption, A is a self-adjoint operator so that we can obtain from the spectral theory of operators (see Kato [9], Section V. 3.8) that

$$\|R(z, A)\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)} = \sup_{a \in \sigma(A)} \frac{1}{|a - z|} \leq \frac{1}{d}, \quad (5.32)$$

where $d = \operatorname{dist}(\sigma(A), \Sigma) > 0$. Thus both (5.31) and (5.32) imply that

$$\|\Delta(\lambda)^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)} \leq \frac{\|R(z, A)\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)}}{|n(\lambda)|} \leq \frac{1}{d(1 - |\alpha|)} < \infty.$$

Now we can use Lemma 5.3 and Gearhart-Prüss-Greiner Theorem to conclude the exponential stability of the solution semigroup e^{tA} , $t \geq 0$ and further obtain by Theorem 4.1 a unique stationary solution of (5.22). The proof is thus complete. $\square$

Example 5.3. We consider a stochastic partial integro-differential equation with delays in the highest-order derivatives,

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial y(t, x)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 y(t, x)}{\partial x^2} + \alpha \frac{\partial^2 y(t - r, x)}{\partial x^2} + f(x) \dot{B}(t), & t \geq 0, \quad x \in \mathcal{O}, \\ y(0, \cdot) = \phi_0(\cdot) \in W_0^{1,2}(\mathcal{O}; \mathbb{R}), \\ y(t, \cdot) = \phi_1(t, \cdot) \in W_0^{1,2}(\mathcal{O}; \mathbb{R}) \cap W^{2,2}(\mathcal{O}; \mathbb{R}), & \text{a.e. } t \in [-r, 0). \end{cases} \quad (5.33)$$

Here $\mathcal{O}$ is a bounded open subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$ with regular boundary $\partial\mathcal{O}$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $r > 0$ and $f \in L^2(\mathcal{O}; \mathbb{R})$.

By analogy with Example 5.2, we can re-write (5.33) as a stochastic initial boundary problem (5.22) in the Hilbert space $H = L^2(\mathcal{O}; \mathbb{R})$ to obtain a solution defined in $[0, \infty)$. In particular, since $A = \partial^2/\partial x^2$ is a self-adjoint and negative operator and its spectrum satisfies $\sigma(A) = \sigma_P(A) \subset (-\infty, -c_0]$ for some $c_0 > 0$. Then by Proposition 5.4 and a direct computation, we may obtain that when $|\alpha| < 1$, the associated solution semigroup of (5.33) is exponentially stable, and further by Theorem 4.1 the equation (5.33) has a unique stationary solution.

6 Appendix

Proof of Proposition 1.1. For fixed $T \geq 0$ and any $y \in C([-r, T]; Z)$, one can get by using (1.2), Hölder inequality and Fubini's theorem that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \|Fy_t\|_X^2 dt &= \int_0^T \left\| \int_{-r}^0 d\eta(\theta)y(t+\theta) \right\|_X^2 dt \\ &\leq \int_0^T \left(\int_{-r}^0 \|y(t+\theta)\|_Z d|\eta|(\theta) \right)^2 dt \\ &\leq |\eta|([-r, 0]) \int_0^T \int_{-r}^0 \|y(t+\theta)\|_Z^2 d|\eta|(\theta) dt \\ &\leq |\eta|([-r, 0]) \int_{-r}^0 \int_{-r}^T \|y(t)\|_Z^2 dt d|\eta|(\theta) = |\eta|([-r, 0])^2 \int_{-r}^T \|y(t)\|_Z^2 dt, \end{aligned} \tag{6.1}$$

where $|\eta|([-r, 0])$ is the total variation of η on $[-r, 0]$. Since $C([-r, T]; Z)$ is dense in $L^2([-r, T]; Z)$, the delay operator F is extendible so that (6.1) remains true for all $y \in L^2([-r, T]; Z)$ and the positive constant C in (1.3) is given by $C = |\eta|([-r, 0])^2 > 0$.

Proof of Proposition 2.3. The equation (2.13) can be equivalently written as

$$\lambda\phi_1(0) - A\phi_1(0) - F\phi_1 = \psi_0, \tag{6.2}$$

$$\lambda\phi_1(\theta) - d\phi_1(\theta)/d\theta = \psi_1(\theta) \quad \text{for } \theta \in [-r, 0], \tag{6.3}$$

and further (6.3) is equivalent to (2.14). Hence if (2.13) holds we deduce that $\phi_1(0) \in \mathcal{D}(A)$ and that (2.15) is true by virtue of (6.2) and (2.14).

Conversely, if $\phi_0 \in \mathcal{D}(A)$ then ϕ_1 defined by (2.16) belongs to $W^{1,2}([-r, 0]; Z)$. If, in addition, $\phi_1(0) = \phi_0$ satisfies (2.15) then from (2.16) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda\phi_1(0) - A\phi_1(0) &= F(e^{\lambda \cdot})\phi_1(0) + \psi_0 + F\left(\int_{\cdot}^0 e^{\lambda(\cdot-\tau)}\psi_1(\tau)d\tau\right) \\ &= F(e^{\lambda \cdot})\phi_1(0) + \psi_0 + F\left(\int_{\cdot}^0 e^{\lambda(\cdot-\tau)}\left[\lambda\phi_1(\tau) - \frac{d\phi_1(\tau)}{d\tau}\right]d\tau\right) \\ &= F(e^{\lambda \cdot})\phi_1(0) + \psi_0 - F[e^{\lambda \cdot}\phi_1(0)] + F\phi_1 \\ &= \psi_0 + F\phi_1, \end{aligned} \tag{6.4}$$

that is,

$$\lambda\phi_1(0) - A\phi_1(0) - F\phi_1 = \psi_0,$$

which is exactly the relation (2.13) and the proof is thus complete.

References

- [1] A. Ardito and P. Ricciardi. Existence and regularity for linear delay partial differential equations. *Nonlinear Anal.* **4**, (1980), 411–414.
- [2] A. Bátkai and S. Piazzera. *Semigroups for Delay Equations*. A K Peters, Wellesley, Massachusetts, (2005).
- [3] J. Conway. *Functions of One Complex Variable*. Graduate Texts in Math. New York, Springer-Verlag, (1986).
- [4] G. Di Blasio, K. Kunisch and E. Sinestrari. L^2 -regularity for parabolic partial integrodifferential equations with delay in the highest-order derivatives. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **102**, (1984), 38–57.
- [5] G. Di Blasio, K. Kunisch and E. Sinestrari. Stability for abstract linear functional differential equations. *Israel J. Math.* **50**, (1985), 231–263.
- [6] K. Engel and R. Nagel. *One-Parameter Semigroups for Linear Evolution Equations*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **194**, Springer-Verlag, New York, Berlin, (2000).
- [7] J. Jeong. Stabilizability of retarded functional differential equation in Hilbert space. *Osaka J. Math.* **28**, (1991), 347–365.
- [8] J. Jeong, S. Nakagiri and H. Tanabe. Structural operators and semigroups associated with functional differential equations in Hilbert spaces. *Osaka J. Math.* **30**, (1993), 365–395.
- [9] T. Kato. *Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators*. Springer-Verlag, New York, (1980).
- [10] J. L. Lions and E. Magenes. *Problèmes aux Limites non Homogènes et Applications*. Dunod, Paris, (1968).
- [11] K. Liu. Stationary solutions of retarded Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes in Hilbert spaces. *Statist. Probab. Letts.* **78**, (2008), 1775–1783.
- [12] K. Liu. Retarded stationary Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes driven by Lévy noise and operator self-decomposability. *Potential Anal.* **33**, (2010), 291–312.
- [13] K. Liu. A criterion for stationary solutions of retarded linear equations with additive noise. *Stoch. Anal. Appl.* **29**, (2011), 799–823.

- [14] K. Liu. On regularity property of retarded Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes in Hilbert spaces. *J. Theoretical Probab.* **25**, (2012), 565–593.
- [15] S. Nakagiri and H. Tanabe. Structural operators and eigenmanifold decomposition for functional differential equations in Hilbert spaces. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **204**, (1996), 554–581.
- [16] J. van Neerven. *The Asymptotic Behaviour of Semigroups of Linear Operators*. Theory Adv. Appl. **88**, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, (1996).
- [17] A. Pazy. *Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations*. Appl. Math. Sci. **44**, Springer-Verlag, New York, (1983).
- [18] H. Tanabe. *Equations of Evolution*. Pitman, New York, (1979).
- [19] H. Tanabe. On fundamental solution of differential equation with time delay in Banach space. *Proc. Japan Acad.* **64**, (1988), 131–180.
- [20] H. Tanabe. Structural operators for linear delay-differential equations in Hilbert space. *Proc. Japan Acad.* **64**, (1988), 263–266.
- [21] H. Tanabe. *Functional Analytic Methods for Partial Differential Equations*. Dekker, New York, (1997).